

A THEOREM ON CYCLIC POLYTOPES

BY
G. C. SHEPHARD

ABSTRACT

Let $C(v, d)$ represent a cyclic polytope with v vertices in d dimensions. A criterion is given for deciding whether a given subset of the vertices of $C(v, d)$ is the set of vertices of some face of $C(v, d)$. This enables us to determine, in a simple manner, the number of j -faces of $C(v, d)$ for each value of j ($1 \leq j \leq d - 1$).

Cyclic polytopes, which were discovered early this century by Carathéodory [1, 2] and more recently rediscovered by Gale [3] and Motzkin [5] as examples of neighbourly polytopes, play an important role in the combinatorial theory of convex polytopes. The main reason for this is the conjecture that, for a given number of vertices v and dimension d , the cyclic polytope $C(v, d)$ has the maximum possible number of faces of each dimension k ($1 \leq k \leq d - 1$). For a short history, as well as further information about cyclic polytopes, the reader should consult the recent book [4] by Branko Grünbaum.

The purpose of this note is to prove a theorem which generalises Gale's evenness condition [4, 4.7.2]. It characterises, in a very simple manner, those subsets of the vertices of $C(v, d)$ which belong to a face of any dimension. The whole combinatorial structure of $C(v, d)$ thus becomes apparent, and all the well-known properties of cyclic polytopes are easy corollaries. In particular the theorem enables us to determine in a simple manner the numbers $f_k(C(v, d))$ of k -faces of $C(v, d)$. These numbers were first determined by Motzkin [5] but no proofs were given. For a proof using the Dehn-Sommerville equations, see [4, 9.6].

For brevity, any totally ordered set V with cardinality v will be called a v -set. For example, any v distinct points on a directed line, or simple arc, is a v -set. Write $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$ where $x_i < x_j$ if and only if $i < j$. Then a subset $X \subseteq V$ is called *contiguous* if, for some $1 < i \leq j < v$,

$$X = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j\}, x_{i-1} \notin X, x_{j+1} \notin X.$$

X will be called *even* or *odd* according to the parity of $\text{card } X = j - i + 1$. An *end-set* is a subset Y of V of the form

$$Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}, x_{i+1} \notin Y, \text{ or}$$

$$Y = \{x_j, \dots, x_v\}, x_{j-1} \notin Y.$$

Clearly any subset $W \subseteq V$ ($W \neq V$) can be written uniquely in the form

$$W = Y_1 \cup X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_t \cup Y_2$$

where $0 \leq t \leq [\frac{1}{2}v]$, the X_i are contiguous subsets of V and Y_1, Y_2 are end-sets of V or are empty. W is said to be of type (r, s) if $\text{card } W = r$ and exactly s of the contiguous subsets X_i are odd.

The set of vertices $V = \text{vert } C(v, d)$ of a cyclic polytope is a v -set, for it consists of v distinct points lying on a d th order curve μ in E^d . (In [4, 4.7], Grünbaum uses the moment curve (t, t, \dots, t^d) , $-\infty < t < \infty$, and mentions d th order curves that have been used by other authors.) With the above terminology, Gale's evenness condition [4, 4.7.2] can be stated as follows: *A subset $W \subseteq V$ is the set of vertices of a facet (a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional face) of $C(v, d)$ if and only if W is of type $(d, 0)$.* This is the particular case $k = d - 1$ of our theorem:

THEOREM. *A subset W of $V = \text{vert } C(v, d)$ is the set of vertices of a k -face of $C(v, d)$ ($0 \leq k \leq d - 1$) if and only if W is of type $(k + 1, s)$ with $s \leq d - k - 1$.*

Proof. We consider first the case $k = d - 1$. Given any subset $W \subseteq V$ with $\text{card } W = d$, then W is an affinely independent subset of E^d , and the affine hull $\text{aff } W$ is a hyperplane H . Since μ is of degree d , $H \cap \mu = W$, and the points of W divide μ into $d + 1$ arcs lying alternately on each side of H . Clearly $\text{conv } W$ is a facet of $C(v, d)$ if and only if H supports $C(v, d)$, that is, if and only if every two points of $V \setminus W$ are separated, on μ , by an even number of points of W . This, in turn, is equivalent to the condition that W is of type $(d, 0)$, that is, W contains no odd contiguous subsets. (This proof is essentially the same as that of [4, 4.7.2].) The theorem is therefore true for $k = d - 1$. Consider now the general case. Let $W \subseteq V$ with $\text{card } W = k + 1$ ($0 \leq k \leq d - 1$) be a given subset. If W has at most $d - k - 1$ odd contiguous subsets, then it is clearly possible to find a subset T of μ with $T \cap V = \emptyset$ and $\text{card } T = d - k - 1$ such that the subset $W \cup T$ of the $(v + d - k - 1)$ -set $V \cup T$ has only even contiguous subsets. Then the hyperplane $H = \text{aff}(W \cup T)$ supports $C(v, d)$ by the argument given above, and as $W \subseteq H$, $\text{conv } W$ is a face of $C(v, d)$. The condition is also necessary, for if $\text{conv } W$ is a face of $C(v, d)$, then it is also a face of some facet $\text{conv } W'$ ($W \subseteq W' \subseteq V$) of $C(v, d)$. Since W' has no odd contiguous subsets, clearly W can have at most $d - k - 1$ odd contiguous subsets.

Finally we note that since every set of $k + 1$ distinct points of $(1 \leq k \leq d - 1)$ are affinely independent, every k -face of $C(v, d)$ has $k + 1$ vertices (it is a k -simplex). This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. $C(v, d)$ is $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor$ - neighbourly. (That is, every $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor$ points of $V = \text{vert } C(v, d)$ are the vertices of a face of $C(v, d)$.)

Proof. If card $W = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor$, then W has at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor$ odd contiguous subsets. Since $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor \leq d - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d \rfloor$, the theorem implies that $\text{conv } W$ is a face of $C(v, d)$.

COROLLARY 2. The number $f_k(C(v, d))$ of k faces of $C(v, d)$ is given by the expressions

$$(1) \quad f_k(C(v, 2n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v}{v-j} \binom{v-j}{j} \binom{j}{k+1-j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2n-1,$$

$$(2) \quad f_k(C(v, 2n+1)) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{k+2}{j+1} \binom{v-j-1}{j} \binom{j+1}{k+1-j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2n,$$

with the usual convention that a binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{q}$ is zero if $p < q$ or $q < 0$.

Proof. This depends upon a simple combinatorial argument to determine the number of distinct subsets $W \subseteq V$ of type $(k+1, s)$ with $s \leq d - k - 1$. The odd and even dimensional cases are essentially different: we begin with the case $d = 2n$.

By a v -circuit we mean any set of cardinality v which is cyclically ordered. For example v points on an oriented simple closed curve is a v -circuit. The essential feature of a v -circuit V is that every point of V has a uniquely defined successor; the v th successor of each point is the point itself. Contiguous subsets of a v -circuit are defined in the obvious manner, and a subset $W \subseteq V$ is said to be of type (r, s) if card $W = r$ and W contains exactly s odd contiguous subsets.

Let V be a v -set and $W \subseteq V$ be a subset of type $(k+1, s)$ or $(k+1, s-1)$, where s is any integer satisfying $s \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}$. Then V may be made into a v -circuit by specifying that x_{i+1} is the successor of x_i , suffixes reduced modulo v , and W becomes a subset $W_1 \subseteq V_1$ of type $(k+1, s)$. (If W is of type $(k+1, s-1)$ then the fact that s and $k+1$ are of the same parity implies that the union of the end-sets of W has odd cardinality. Hence W_1 has one more odd contiguous subset than W .) We write $p(v, k+1, s)$ for the total number of distinct subsets $W \subseteq V$ (or of subsets $W_1 \subseteq V_1$) with the above properties.

In order to determine the numerical value of $p(v, k+1, s)$ we proceed as follows. By definition, each point $x \in V$ and therefore each contiguous subset of V_1 , has a unique successor. Let $W_2 \subseteq V_1$ be the subset of type $(k+s+1, 0)$ formed by adjoining to W_1 the successor of each of its s odd contiguous subsets. The number of such subsets W_2 is $p(v, k+s+1, 0)$, and each W_2 consists of j pairs of adjacent points of V_1 , where $2j = k+s+1$. Since deletion of the second point in any s_2 of

these j pairs produces a set of type $(k + 1, s)$, we see that $\binom{j}{s}$ distinct sets W_1 correspond to the same W_2 and so

$$(3) \quad p(v, k + 1, s) = \binom{j}{s} p(v, 2j, 0).$$

We shall now determine $p(v, 2j, 0)$. If we delete one point of each of the j pairs in a set W_2 , we obtain a subset W_3 of a $(v - j)$ -circuit V_2 with card $W_3 = j$. The number of such sets W_3 is clearly $\binom{v-j}{j}$. For each set W_3 let r be the number of cyclic permutations of V_2 (that is, automorphisms of V_2 which preserve the cyclic ordering of the points) which leave W_3 invariant. Then r is also the number of cyclic permutations of V_1 which leave W_2 invariant. The cyclic permutations of V_2 applied to W_3 yield $(v - j)/r$ distinct subsets of V_2 , and cyclic permutations of V_1 applied to the corresponding set W_2 yield v/r distinct subsets of V_1 (of type $(2j, 0)$). We deduce that

$$(4) \quad p(v, 2j, 0) = \frac{v}{v-j} \binom{v-j}{j}.$$

From (3) and (4),

$$(5) \quad p(v, k + 1, s) = \frac{v}{v-j} \binom{v-j}{j} \binom{j}{s}$$

where $2j = k + s + 1$, and from the theorem,

$$(6) \quad f_k(C(v, 2n)) = \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ s \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}}^{d-k-1} p(v, k + 1, s).$$

Substituting the value of $p(v, k + 1, s)$ from (5) in (6), and changing the variable in the summation from s to j , we immediately obtain (1). (The terms corresponding to $1 \leq j \leq [\frac{1}{2}(k + 1)]$ in the summation are identically zero.)

For the odd-dimensional case $d = 2n + 1$, we need to determine, for each k , with $0 \leq k \leq 2n$, the number of distinct subsets of V of type $(k + 1, s)$ with $s \leq 2n - k$. To do this we transform the v -set V into a $(v + 1)$ -circuit V_1 , by adjoining one point x which is the successor of x_v and the predecessor of x_1 . For each subset W of V we define $W_1 \subseteq V_1$ as that subset into which W is transformed, together with the additional point x . If W is of type $(k + 1, s)$ or $(k + 1, s - 1)$ with $k \equiv s \pmod{2}$, then W_1 is of type $(k + 2, s)$. By (6) the number of such sets W_1 with $s \leq 2n - k$ is

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\ s \equiv k \pmod{2}}}^{2n-k} p(v+1, k+2, s) = f_{k+1}(C(v+1, 2n+2)).$$

For each W_1 of type $(k+2, s)$ let r be the number of cyclic permutations of V_1 that leave W_1 invariant. Then cyclic permutations of V_1 applied to W_1 yield $(v+1)/r$ distinct subsets of V_1 of type $(k+1, s)$. Since deletion of any one of the $k+2$ points of W_1 converts V_1 into a v -set V , we see that each W_1 leads to $(k+2)/r$ distinct subsets $W \subseteq V$ of type $(k+1, s)$ or $(k+1, s-1)$. Hence from (7), the total number of distinct subsets of V of type $(k+1, s)$ with $s \leq 2n-k$ is

$$f_k(C(v, 2n+1)) = \frac{k+2}{v+1} f_{k+1}(C(v+1, 2n+2))$$

(compare [1, 9.6.2]). If we substitute for $f_{k+1}(C(v+1, 2n+2))$ from (1) we obtain (2), which completes the proof of the corollary.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Branko Grünbaum and Peter McMullen for reading a preliminary version of this paper and making a number of suggestions for improvement.

REFERENCES

1. C. Carathéodory, *Ueber den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffizienten von Potenzreihen die gegebene Werte nicht annehmen*, Math. Ann. **64** (1907), 95–115.
2. C. Carathéodory, *Ueber den Variabilitätsbereich der Fourierschen Konstanten von positiven harmonischen Funktionen* Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **32** (1911), 193–217.
3. D. Gale, *Neighborly and cyclic polytopes*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **7** (Convexity) (1963), 225–232.
4. B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, London-New York-Sydney, 1967.
5. T. S. Motzkin, *Comonotone curves and polyhedra*, Abstract 111, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **63** (1957), 35.

UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA,
NORWICH, NOR 88C, ENGLAND